

CRITICAL EVENT PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE: KEYNOTE ADDRESS TO THE 2006 SLOAN RESEARCH WORKSHOP BY JON LINKS

Janet C. Moore

The Sloan Consortium

ABSTRACT

At the intersection of online education and preparedness, Johns Hopkins University's (JHU) Center for Public Health Preparedness provides all-hazards preparedness and response training for public health and public safety professionals. This report comes from Jonathan Links' keynote address to the Sloan Summer Research Workshop in Baltimore, Maryland in August 2006.

KEYWORDS

Critical Incidents, Continuity of Operations, Preparedness, Training, Online Education

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2000, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed a national network of Centers for Public Health Preparedness (CPHP) [1]:

...to strengthen terrorism and emergency preparedness by linking academic expertise to state and local health agency needs. The program has grown to become an important national resource for the development, delivery, and evaluation of preparedness education. Within the CPHP program, colleges and universities provide preparedness education to public health workers, healthcare providers, students, and others. Currently, 52 CPHPs are established in universities within schools and colleges of public health, medicine, nursing, veterinary medicine, pharmacy, biological sciences, in a community college, and in several university-based medical and health science centers. CPHPs work in close collaboration with state and local health agencies to develop, deliver, and evaluate preparedness education based on community need. [1]

Funded by CDC and led by Jonathan Links [2], the Johns Hopkins University-Center for Public Health Preparedness (JH-CPHP) [3] provides training and education to public health and public safety personnel at the state and local levels, as well as in community-based organizations and other entities charged with carrying out CDC programs and the control and prevention of bioterrorism and infectious disease through all other types of hazards and emergencies (natural, accidental, intentional).

At Hopkins, the JH-CPHP's activities are complemented by the Johns Hopkins' Office of Critical Event Preparedness and Response (CEPAR). CEPAR [4] is the command center—and clearinghouse—for enterprise-wide (throughout Hopkins) planning for and reaction to a catastrophe, particularly involving bioterrorism or nuclear or chemical attack. CEPAR's objectives are:

1. To create, develop and implement a model infrastructure for effective JH enterprise-wide planning and preparedness for critical events requiring a medical/public health disaster response.
2. To develop a model medical/public health disaster response plan integrated with local, regional,

The Road Map depicts a training program based on CDC preparedness competencies for preparing public health personnel for emergencies. JH-CPHP designed a manual and materials for trainers who teach the Road Map to Preparedness training program to all remaining employees in their own health departments:

The Road Map's goal is to provide a basic training curriculum, applicable to all health department employees, to help them achieve the competencies outlined in the CDC/Columbia University Bioterrorism & Emergency Readiness: Competencies for All Public Health Workers.[6] (The Center also provides learning objectives [7] that each participant will be expected to achieve.) Because the work of a busy public health department must continue despite the need for this additional training, the program consists of a combination of agency-led activities and self-study activities. Also, because employees are asked to accomplish this training program in addition to their regular duties, it was designed to be fun and game-like. [8]

A free online repository provides learning opportunities with courses and training activities, tools, and educational programs offered through the entire Center for Public Health Preparedness network at the Public Health Preparedness Resource Center [9] maintained by the Association of Schools of Public Health. Terrorism and emergency response training and educational resources developed by the CDC-funded Centers for Public Health Preparedness "address a wide-array of public health and emergency response topic areas and are presented in various formats including CD-ROM, web cast, exercise/drill/tabletop manuals, comprehensive course outlines and much more. This information is intended for public health professionals and other community partners both in the field and in the classroom" [7].

Web modules from JH-CPHP are available on topics including:

- Agriculture, Food, and Water
- Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear Terror
- Infectious Disease and Vaccines
- Legal Issues
- Mental Health Preparedness
- General Public Health Preparedness

Short courses include:

- Public Health Response Team Institute
- Emerging Infectious Respiratory Diseases
- Dirty Bombs Preparedness & Response
- Psychological Aspects of Terrorist Events
- Agricultural Security & Public Health
- Epidemic Intelligence Service Conference
- Disaster Mental Health
- Corporate Emergency Preparedness

JHCPHP achievements are shown in Tables 1 and 2:

Activity	Promised	Delivered
R2P	3000 (10 dept's)	3923 (10 dept's)
Web modules	1100 (12 old + 10 new modules)	1582 (12 old + 15 new modules)
Short courses	600 (6 courses; 9 days)	881 (8 courses; 10 days)
Prep Cert	10	7
MPH Prep Conc	10	8

Table 1. Year 1 Reach

Activity	Promised	Estimated (as of Aug 2006)
R2P	850 (7 dept's)	3081
Web modules	2000 (52 modules)	3500
Short courses	850 (6 courses)	1619
Prep Cert	7	3
MPH Prep Conc	8	8
Training Series	500 (3 series)	520
NIMS Training	400	780

Table 2. Year 2 Reach

In addition, partners have requested training in:

- Basic Public Health/Surveillance
- All-hazards/ Chemical, Biological, Radiological/Nuclear, and Explosive (CBRNE) Incidents
- National Incident Management System (NIMS)
- Emergency Response Plans (developing, understanding, and using)
- Mental Health
- Legal/Ethical Issues
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
- Drills and Exercises

III. TRAINING ISSUES

A. Barriers to Training

Barriers to training include the release of staff from regular duties to attend training during business hours and the costs associated with training and temporary staff replacements. Attendants may perceive that the training is not relevant to their duties, or they may perceive that their role in an emergency is not important. Without training that inculcates responsibility, response to incidents is subject to a range of perceptions:

- Perception of existing knowledge about public health impact of pandemic influenza
- Confidence in personal safety
- Family preparation
- Health Department's perceived ability to provide timely information
- Perception of the capacity to effectively communicate risk
- Familiarity with one's role-specific response requirements
- Perception of the importance of one's role in the agency's overall response
- Perceived importance of preparedness training and education [10]

Trainers must take into account that people may not believe threats are real. Figure 2 shows that “preventive health behavior is influenced by five factors: (a) perceived barriers to performing the recommended response; (b) perceived benefits of performing the recommended response; (c) perceived susceptibility to a health threat; (d) perceived severity of a health threat; and (e) cues to action” [11].




Figure 2. Extended Parallel Process Model

To address these perceptions, it is necessary to change the traditional approach to learning, focusing not just on knowledge, but instead seeking to transform attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors, as illustrated in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Focus for Preparedness Learning

B. Customization Preferred

Although a great deal of online training is available [12], a barrier may be that people may not take online learning as seriously as they take in-person learning.

JHCPHP finds that certain groups prefer customization to one-size-fits-all approaches. Thus, a typical training day might consist of morning table talk, followed in the afternoon with didactic presentations based on morning table talk. Because workers in public health and public safety have different cultures, languages and affects, customization helps to bridge the distance among groups.

C. Assessment

JHCPHP administers multiple choice exam administered pre and post training, and evaluates real time audience understanding with response system that uses individual remotes tied to participants' identity. Some example results show improvement:

- Roadmap to Preparedness: 47%–77%
- Dirty Bomb Table-Top: 57%–73%

However, the big challenge is how to assess longer term outcomes after 6 months or more, and how to measure real changes in practice and performance so that readiness is part of everyday awareness.

D. Format

Training can occur in a variety of formats. JH-CPHP reports relative interest in the following formats:

Short courses	48% high interest; 35% moderate interest
Courses that offer CE credit	55% high interest; 21% moderate interest
On-site training	56% high interest; 31% moderate interest
Certificate programs	40% high interest; 26% moderate interest
Master degree credits	34% no interest; 21% low interest

IV. ALL HAZARDS

A theme of all hazards training is that 98% of response is the same. However, each kind of event occurs in stages that are also known as triggering events. The figure below, for example, shows the plume-shaped dispersal effects of a dirty bomb detonated at the Baltimore harbor, suggesting a range of health effects and priorities for responders. Simulations such as those that produced this figure are useful in running table-top exercises.




Figure 4. Dirty Bomb Dispersion

Triggering events for Avian or Pandemic Influenza are identified in 6 phases according to the World Health Organization:

Inter-pandemic phase	Low risk of human cases	1
	Higher risk of human cases	2
Pandemic alert	No or very limited human-to-human transmission	3
	Evidence of increased human-to-human transmission	4
	Evidence of significant human-to-human transmission	5
Pandemic	Efficient and sustained human-to-human transmission	6

Figure 5. WHO: 6 Phases of Pandemic, October 2006 [13]

V. CONTINUITY OF OPERATIONS PLANS (COOPS)

Academic continuity of operations plans (COOPS) have traditionally focused on continuity of business (see [14] for example). However, with the advent of online education, it is now possible to plan for continuity of educational and research activities before, during, and after the emergency.

Figure 4 depicts Johns Hopkins' School of Public Health leadership and authority incident command structure in the context of pandemic flu preparedness and response:




Figure 6. Incident Command Structure

JHU is developing plans to enable fully online delivery of courses and establishing policies for class and course cancellation and for excused and unexcused absences. It is planning for freezers to maintain biological specimens. It is also examining strategies for paying union employees who can work from home and for insuring against disease. Among the biggest concerns is providing for added capacity for servers, networks, and software licenses.

Keeping in mind that people need to remain aware of continuity planning as part of routine operations, JHU prepares all-hazards readiness and ask what triggers actions from one stage to the next. For example, in the event of pandemic when absenteeism is expected to be 40–50%, routine operations emphasize readying alternative communications (website backups, palm held devices, 2-way radios), flu shots, and travel screening; reduced operations would cancel seminars, clubs, and visitors; at the essentials stage, only mission critical personnel will be physically present. In all these contingencies, JHU emphasizes that preparedness is not just planning to “do,” but actually “doing.”

VI. ADDITIONAL READINGS: PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLES

1. **Barnett, D. J., R. D. Balicer, D. Blodgett, A. L. Fews, C. L. Parker and J. M. Links.** The Application of the Haddon Matrix to Public Health Readiness and Response Planning. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 113(5): 561–566, May 2005.
2. **Barnett, D. J., R. D. Balicer, D. W. Blodgett, G. S. Everly, S. B. Omer, C. L. Parker and J. M. Links.** Applying Risk Perception Theory to Public Health Workforce Preparedness Training. *Journal of Public Health Management Practice* 11(6 Supplement): S33–S37, November 2005.

3. **Barnett, D. J., R. D. Balicer, D. R. Lucey, G. S. Everly, S. B. Omer, M. C. Steinhoff and I. Grotto.** A Systematic Analytic Approach to Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Planning. *PLoS Medicine* 2(12): e359, December 2005.
4. **Barnett D. J., G. S. Everly, C. L. Parker and J. M. Links.** Applying Educational Gaming to Public Health Workforce Emergency Preparedness. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 28(4): 390–395, May 2005.
5. **Covich J, C. Parker and V. White.** The Practice Community Meets the Ivory Tower: A Partnership to Improve Public Health Preparedness. *Public Health Reports* 120(Suppl.1): 84–90, 2005.
6. **Parker C. L., D. J. Barnett, A. L. Fews, D. Blodgett and J. M. Links.** The Road Map to Preparedness: A Competency-Based Approach to All-Hazards Emergency Readiness Training for the Public Health Workforce. *Public Health Reports*, 120(5): 504–514, September/October 2005.

VII. REFERENCES

1. Centers for Public Health Preparedness. <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/training/cphp/index.asp>.
2. **Jonathan Links.** <http://faculty.jhsph.edu/?F=Jonathan&L=Links>.
3. Center for Public Health Preparedness. Johns Hopkins University. <http://www.jhsph.edu/preparedness/index.html>.
4. Critical Event Preparedness and Response. <http://www.hopkins-cepar.org/index.html>.
5. Key Personnel and Staff. http://www.jhsph.edu/preparedness/about/faculty_staff.html.
6. Competencies for All Public Health Workers. CDC/Columbia University Bioterrorism and Emergency Readiness. <http://www.cumc.columbia.edu/dept/nursing/institutes-centers/chphsr/btcomps.pdf>.
7. Road Map Learning Objectives. http://www.jhsph.edu/preparedness/Materials/Road_map_learning_objectives.pdf.
8. Road Map to Preparedness. http://www.jhsph.edu/preparedness/training/road_map.html.
9. Public Health Preparedness Resource Center. <http://asph.org/acphp/phprc.cfm>.
10. **Barnett D. J., R. D. Balicer, D. Blodgett, A. L. Fews, C. L. Parker and J. M. Links.** The Application of the Haddon Matrix to Public Health Readiness and Response Planning. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 113(5): 561–566, May 2005.
11. **Witte, K.** Theory-Based Interventions and Evaluations of Outreach Efforts. <http://nnlm.gov/archive/pnr/eval/witte.html>.
12. Emergency Management and Command. National Incident Management System. http://www.nimsonline.com/nims_training/index.htm.
13. Epidemic and Pandemic Alert and Response (EPR). World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian_influenza/phase/en/index.html.
14. Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions JHMCIS/IT@JH Business Continuity Plan. <http://it.jhu.edu/etso/dr/bcplan.html>.